

# Audience response systems

## Definitions, examples, usage, and results



**AQUILONIS d.o.o.**

SREDNJA EKONOMSKA ŠOLA  
IN GIMNAZIJA MARIBOR

SVEUČILIŠTE U ZAGREBU  
FAKULTET ELEKTROTEHNIKE I RAČUNARSTVA

TARTU KUTSEHARIDUSKESKUS  
ZAVOD ANTONA MARTINA SLOMŠKA MARIBOR



AGENCIJA ZA  
MOBILNOST I  
PROGRAME EU



Erasmus+

PROJECT NO.  
2020-1-HR01-KA226-SCH-094735

## ACTIVE LEARNING THROUGH IMPROVED INTERACTIVITY

Co-funded by the  
Creative Europe Programme  
of the European Union



This project has been funded with support from the European Commission. This publication reflects the views only of the author, and the Commission cannot be held responsible for any use which may be made of the information contained therein.

# What systems?

- Various names include:
  - Audience/Student/Classroom response systems*
  - Personal response systems*
  - Clickers*
  - Wireless keypad response systems*
  - Electronic response systems*
  - Classroom communication systems*
- Most commonly used
  - Classroom response system*
  - Audience response system*

# History

- 1960s and 1970s  
audience evaluations of movies prior to their release  
anonymous voting in corporate meetings - *The Consensor*
- 1990s  
Rice University in Houston, Texas – „Networked Classroom” with basic ARS functions

# History

- 2000s
  - Microsoft Mouse Mischief – multiple mice on a single computer
  - Clickers/keypads with infrared (IR) and radio frequency (RF) communication
  - Cell phone-based systems
- Smartphones
  - Web based systems, Internet

# Today

- Kahoot
- Socrative
- Mentimeter
- Poll Everywhere
- NetClick
- VotApedia
- i2Vote
- iClickers
- i-SIDRA
- Quizizz
- GoSoapBox

# What do ARS enable?

- From a technical perspective:
  1. A teacher **asks** students a **question** (ABCDE, image select, textual answers or diagrams/drawings)
  2. Students **answer the question** using their devices – clickers, laptops, smartphones, tablets
  3. Software on the teacher's computer may show answers
  4. The teacher may change his teaching based on the results

# Benefits of using ARS

- From a pedagogical perspective:
  1. promote interaction between students and teachers
  2. increase students' attention levels
  3. promote involvement by allowing anonymity
  4. improve student learning/retention (retrieval based learning)
  5. give feedback about teaching effectiveness
  6. verify attendance
  7. create interactive learning environments in a simple and cheap way

Especially in **large** enrollment classes

# Disadvantages of using ARS

- Time-consuming
  - mastering the ARS
  - preparing materials for the class
  - waiting for students to submit their answers
  - reacting to their answers or questions
- Technical problems

# Results – learning outcomes and attendance

- Jones et al. showed that clickers can **improve assessment, increase attendance** and interaction, and provide a media-enriched environment to nursing students

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.teln.2008.06.001>

- Mayer et al. indicated that higher education students in educational psychology who **used ARS** to answer questions were **more cognitively engaged** during learning and **scored better** on course exams than those who did not use ARS technology

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cedpsych.2008.04.002>

# Results – help focus and maintain attention

- Immerwahr showed ARS can be used to help **re-engage students after their attention fades** during a philosophy lecture course, improve the attitudes and stimulate discussion among students

<https://doi.org/10.5840/teachphil200932326>

- Cain et al. used ARS to improve student motivation and attention

<https://doi.org/10.5688/aj730221>

# Results – alleviate anxiety

- Stowell et al. analysed how a group of psychology students **answer to controversial questions** and observed that students who often experience anxiety are more **comfortable using ARS** than raising their hand in class.  
<https://doi.org/10.1080/00986281003626631>
- Patterson et al. showed the importance of **responding anonymously**, validation of answers and providing **immediate feedback**, and clickers' ability to and increase student engagement  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nedt.2009.12.008>

# Results – a safe learning environment

- Hood showed how ARS can be used to **create safe learning environments** and an open atmosphere for discussing religious subject matter  
eISBN: 9781315110615

# Typical issues

- Bad time management
- Writing effective questions
- Changing lesson plan „on the fly”
- Leading class-wide discussions can be challenging

# Typical usage scenarios



Co-funded by the  
Creative Europe Programme  
of the European Union



This project has been funded with support from the European Commission. This publication reflects the views only of the author, and the Commission cannot be held responsible for any use which may be made of the information contained therein.

# Poll, discuss, repoll method

- If the answer a question is 70% or higher correct you briefly discuss why it is correct and you go on
- If the answer is 30 - 70% correct you don't tell them the correct answer, you ask them to turn to a neighbour who had a different answer and discuss, then repoll the group
- If the answers were <30% correct teach the content again and revise your strategy for how this was taught

# Discuss, poll

- Pose a question
- Let students analyze it in small groups before answering it using ARS

# Poll, poll, poll

- Micro-contests or summative/formative assessments
- Pose multiple sequential multiple-choice questions

# Open-ended questions

- 1-3 questions per 45 minutes of lecture
- Award bonus points for meaningful answers
- Encourage and read different answers
  
- Anonymity encourages answers but comes at a price

# Conclusion - ARS

- + Can be a powerful tool to assist classroom learning
  - motivation
  - engagement
  - interaction
  - learning outcomes
- Cost time to prepare, solve technical issues, discuss results

# THANKS!

## Any questions?

You can find me at:

- ▶ [altii.team@aquilonis.hr](mailto:altii.team@aquilonis.hr)

